

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/695,320	10/28/2003	Jerry J. Fielding JR.	4889-000001	4849	
27572	7590 04/07/2004		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			MILLER, BENA B		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIEL	B D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBEI		
	,		3712		
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/695,320	FIELDING, JERRY J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bena Miller	3712			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)□	• • • •	s action is non-final.				
3)□						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
•						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)Ш	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
,—	The specification is objected to by the Examina					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)	The path of declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action of form FTO-132.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority					
	application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	rr No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,			

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the inflatable bladder and the motor must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show inflatable bladder and motor as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The specification fails to provide proper antecedent basis for the limitation "inflatable bladder" and "a motor for propelling the toy".

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lorenzo.

Lorenzo teaches in the figures a submersible water toy comprising a main body (10), an upper deck surface (fig.1) and the main body portion operable to support the user's weight (col. 4, par. 2).

Regarding claim 2, Lorenzo further teaches a foam main body (col. 3, lines 45-47).

Regarding claim 5, Lorenzo further teaches a pair of convexly curved surfaces (fig.2).

Regarding claim 6, Lorenzo further teaches a generally planer central portion and front and rear ends which angle upwardly (fig.2).

Regarding claim 15, Lorenzo further teaches a substantially flat central portion (fig.1).

Regarding claim 16, Lorenzo further teaches the central portion having a length substantially greater than a width (The examiner takes the position that the central portion of Lorenzo has a length substantially greater than a width).

Art Unit: 3712

Claims 1, 11, 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Milford.

Milford teaches in the figures a submersible water toy comprising a main body (10), an upper deck surface (fig.2) and the main body portion operable to support the user's weight (fig.1).

Regarding claim 11, Milford further teaches a disk-shaped main body (10).

Regarding claim 12, Milford further teaches the upper deck is concave (fig.6).

Regarding claim 17, Milford teaches in the figures the method of providing (10), submersing (fig.1), positioning (fig.1) and supporting (fig.1).

Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hashimoto.

Hashimoto teaches in the figures a submersible water toy comprising a main body (fig.1), an upper deck surface (fig.1) and the main body portion operable to support the user's weight (col. 4, line 56).

Regarding claim 13, Hashimoto further teaches an inflatable bladder (col. 2, line 34).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jang.

Jang teaches in the figures a submersible water toy comprising a main body

(fig.1), an upper deck surface (fig.1) and the main body portion operable to support the

user's weight (col. 1, lines 18-26).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Jang further teaches a expandable polystyrene foam main body (col. 1, line 46).

Art Unit: 3712

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnson III.

Johnson III teaches in the figures a submersible water toy comprising a main body (fig.1), an upper deck surface (fig.1) and the main body portion operable to support the user's weight (11).

Regarding claim 8, Johnson III further teaches a plastic shell substantially surrounding the foam core (col. 3, lines 10-19).

Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moran.

Moran teaches in the figures a submersible water toy comprising a main body (12), an upper deck surface (fig.1) and the main body portion operable to support the user's weight (fig.1).

Regarding claim 9, Moran further teaches a plurality of buoyant panels (34, 36; col. 3, par.2).

Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gleason.

Gleason teaches in the figures a submersible water toy comprising a main body (fig. 1), an upper deck surface (fig.1) and the main body portion operable to support the user's weight (col. 1, lines 5-24).

Regarding claim 14, Gleason further teaches a motor (16) for propelling the toy.

Art Unit: 3712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lorenzo.

Lorenzo teaches in figures most of the elements of the claimed invention except for the main body portion having a length of approximately 31 inches, a width of approximately 8 inches and a thickness of approximately 2 inches. It would have been considered a mere design choice to have the main body portion of Lorenzo having a length of approximately 31 inches, a width of approximately 8 inches and a thickness of approximately 2 inches for the purpose of supporting the weight of the user.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jang.

Jang teaches in figures most of the elements of the claimed invention except for the main body portion constructed of ethylene vinyl acetate. It would have been considered a mere design choice to have the main body portion of Jang to be constructed of ethylene vinyl acetate for the purpose of supporting the weight of the user.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moran.

Moran teaches in figures most of the elements of the claimed invention except for the buoyant panels are removably secured to the main body portion with elastic bands.

Art Unit: 3712

It would have been considered a mere design choice to have the buoyant panels removably secured to the main body of Moran for the purpose of supporting the weight of the user.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Derrah teaches a radio controlled surfboard with robot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller Examiner Art Unit 3712

bbm *>0*04 April 04, **2**4